### EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

September 11, 2001

TO:	Board of Trustees
FROM:	A. McBeath, Acting Superintendent of Schools
SUBJECT:	Highest Level of Achievement Test Results: June 2001
ORIGINATOR:	Marion de Man, Department Head
RESOURCE STAFF:	Anne Mulgrew, Lorie Welk

### INFORMATION

### Overview

- For the 2000-2001 HLAT administration, the participation rate for both reading and writing increased relative to 1999-2000.
- In comparing the 2001 HLAT results to results from the previous year
  - the percentage of students reading at or above grade level increased 0.5 per cent to 85.5 per cent
  - the percentage of students judged to be writing at or above grade level increased 0.6 per cent to 85.7 per cent
  - the percentage of students demonstrating one or more years growth in reading increased 0.1 per cent to 83.8 per cent
  - the percentage of students demonstrating one or more years growth in writing increased 0.8 per cent to 91.8 per cent
- The greatest increase for reading was at enrolment grade 1 where 87.4 per cent of students were reading at or above grade level, an increase of 2.7 per cent over the 2000 results.
- The greatest increase in writing was at enrolment grade 1 where 86.2 per cent of students were judged to be writing at or above grade level, an increase of 3.9 per cent over the previous year.
- These substantial increases at the grade 1 level are likely the result of the implementation of a number of early literacy strategies, including Balanced Literacy, Reading Recovery, and Writing Road to Reading.
- With respect to the performance scores for writing, in the 2000-2001 administration, a lower percentage of papers were judged to be of limited quality and higher percentages of papers were judged to be of adequate or proficient quality than in the previous year.

### Introduction

During the two week time period of April 23 to May 4, 2001, students enrolled in grades 1 to 9 wrote the Highest Level of Achievement Tests (HLAT) in reading, and students enrolled in grades 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 were administered the writing task. The HLAT program provides student, school, and district information that is different from that provided by the provincial

achievement test program. Results from the provincial achievement tests provide performance information about how well students are demonstrating provincial standards in mathematics and language arts in grades 3, 6, and 9, and in social studies and science in grades 6 and 9. HLATs provide annual grade level of achievement data for district students registered in grades one to nine, and information about student growth in reading and writing. They provide information that students, teachers, and parents can use for making individual programming decisions, as well as information that is useful to staff, trustees and members of the community for accountability purposes.

The reading test consisted of the reading comprehension subtest of the Canadian Test of Basic Skills. The writing test was a locally developed prompt (Appendix I) that was the same for all students. The prompt was field tested by students enrolled in grades 1 to 9 in another school district in the spring of 2000. The results from the field testing were used to

- verify that students across this age span could successfully respond to the prompt
- provide additional samples of student work to further refine grade level writing criteria
- provide task-specific samples of student work to assist teachers in scoring

Student writing was marked by classroom teachers who submitted a grade level of achievement and a performance score for each piece of writing. A 4-point holistic scale ranging from limited to excellent was used to determine the performance score. The grade level of achievement criteria and performance rubric are included in Appendix II.

#### Results

Table 1 provides information on the number of students writing by enrolment grade. Students registered in a division rather than a grade were included in this analysis by equating their year-in-school to an enrolment grade.

Enrolment												
Grade	Reading					Writing						
	Wro	ote	Exe	empt	Absent		Wrote	Wrote		npt	Absent	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	n	%
1	5327	93.5	225	3.9	147	2.6	5372	94.3	186	3.3	141	2.4
2	5426	95.5	119	2.1	134	2.4	5459	96.1	82	1.5	138	2.4
3	5525	96.5	76	1.3	125	2.2		DID	NOT	WRITE	Ξ	
4	5900	97.3	60	1.0	102	1.7	5863	96.7	62	1.0	137	2.3
5	5806	97.5	53	0.9	96	1.6	5780	97.1	50	0.8	125	2.1
6	5867	97.6	37	0.6	110	1.8		DID	NOT	WRITE	3	
7	5874	97.2	18	0.3	151	2.5	5811	96.2	20	0.3	212	3.5
8	5732	95.7	19	0.3	240	4.0	5680	94.9	20	0.3	288	4.8
9	5716	94.3	14	0.2	332	5.5		DID	NOT	WRITE	-	
2001 Total	51173	96.1	621	1.2	1437	2.7	33965	95.9	420	1.2	1041	2.9
2000 Total	51072	96.0	538	1.0	1592	3.0	33586	95.2	382	1.1	1294	3.7
1999 Total	50949	96.5	592	1.1	1279	2.4	33830	96.1	378	1.1	1008	2.8

TABLE 1DISTRICT STUDENTS PARTICIPATING IN THEHIGHEST LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT TESTS: JUNE 2001

For the reading component, the participation rate ranged from a low of 93.5 per cent at grade 1 to a high of 97.6 per cent at grade 6. The grade 1 data is influenced by 118 out of 223 French immersion students being exempted from the reading test. Overall, 1.2 per cent of students were declared exempt and 2.7 per cent of students were absent from the reading test. These numbers reflect an increase in the percentage of students declared exempt but a decrease in the percentage of students absent relative to 2000 data.

For the writing component, the participation rate ranged from a low of 94.3 per cent at grade 1 to a high of 97.1 per cent at grade 5. As with reading, 118 French immersion students enrolled in grade 1 were exempted from the writing task.

### Analysis of Results by Enrolment Grade

The following guidelines were followed in analyzing the data:

- students registered in divisions were included with graded groups based on their year-inschool
- students exempted from either the reading or writing component were included in the data as "not yet 1" grade level of achievement
- students whose raw scores on the multiple-choice reading test were lower than random chance were classified as "grade level of achievement undetermined" and, for purposes of analysis, were included as being more than two years below enrolment grade in reading
- students who were absent are not included in the data

### **Comparison of 2001 Results to 2000 Results**

Table 2 provides a two-year comparison of the percentage of students achieving a grade level of achievement equal to or greater than their enrolment grade for both the reading and writing components of HLAT.

ENROLMENT GRADE: JUNE 2000 AND 2001								
Enrolment	Percentage of Students							
Grade	Rea	ding	Wr	iting				
	2000	2001	2000	2001				
1	84.7	87.4	82.3	86.2				
2	83.8	85.0	89.5	88.9				
3	84.8	85.2	n/a	n/a				
4	84.4	83.2	85.9	84.3				
5	84.2	85.1	83.5	84.9				
6	84.8	84.9	n/a	n/a				
7	86.5	87.5	84.9	85.7				
8	84.4	84.7	84.1	84.4				
9	87.0	86.1	n/a	n/a				
Total	85.0	85.5	85.1	85.7				

### TABLE 2

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING A GRADE LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THEIR ENROLMENT GRADE: JUNE 2000 AND 2001

From Table 2, the following generalizations can be made:

- in June 2001, the percentage of students attaining a grade level of achievement in reading equal to or greater than their enrolment grade ranged from a high of 87.5 per cent at grade 7 to a low of 83.2 per cent at grade 4
- in June 2001, the percentage of students who achieved a grade level of achievement in writing equal to or greater than their enrolment grade ranged from a high of 88.9 per cent at grade 2 to a low of 84.3 per cent at grade 4
- at a district level, the percentage of students attaining a grade level of achievement equal to or greater than their enrolment grade increased 0.5 per cent for reading, and 0.6 per cent for writing compared to 2000
- the percentage of students reading at or above grade level increased for enrolment grades 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 but decreased for grades 4 and 9 between 2000 and 2001
- the percentage of students judged to be writing at or above grade level increased for enrolment grades 1, 5, 7 and 8, but decreased for grades 2 and 4 between 2000 and 2001

# **Reading Results**

Table 3 provides detailed information for 1999, 2000 and 2001 on the reading component of the HLAT. This analysis compares students' grade level of achievement in reading to the grade level in which students are enrolled.

TABLE 3
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1999, 2000 and 2001 HLAT
GRADE LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT (GL of A)
<b>READING SCORES BY ENROLMENT GRADE</b>

0 1	3.7							. D1 0	
Grade	Year	>Minus 2	Minus 2	Minus 1	AT *	Plus 1	Plus 2	>Plus 2	N
1	2001			12.6	68.2	16.7	2.5		5552
1	2000			15.3	66.1	16.5	2.1		5513
1	1999			15.0	67.1	15.8	2.1		5560
2	2001	i	3.5	11.5	63.5	17.7	3.8	1	5545
2	2001			13.1	<b>63.6</b>	17.7	3.0		5530
2	1999		3.1 3.5	13.1	64.7	17.2	2.8		5866
Z	1999		3.3	12.5	04./	10.5	2.8		3800
3	2001	2.3	3.7	8.9	63.7	19.0	2.5		5601
3	2000	2.1	3.9	9.2	66.1	16.9	1.8		5875
3	1999	2.2	2.9	9.5	66.9	16.1	2.4		5854
	I	I	I			1	I	I	I
4	2001	3.4	3.8	9.6	67.1	13.9	2.2		5960
4	2000	3.2	2.6	9.8	67.3	15.0	2.1		5836
4	1999	2.6	2.6	11.9	67.1	13.7	2.1		5746
5	2001	3.5	2.9	8.5	60.0	21.3	3.8	1	5859
5	2001	2.9	2.7	10.2	61.0	19.8			5861
5	1999	3.1	3.1	10.2	60.6	19.8	3.4 3.7		5735
5	1999	5.1	5.1	10.9	00.0	18.0	5.7		5755
6	2001	3.6	2.2	9.3	60.9	19.1	4.9		5904
6	2000	3.5	2.0	9.7	60.3	19.7	4.8		5786
6	1999	3.4	1.8	11.4	60.0	18.5	4.9		5694
	i	i	i			i	i	i	i
7	2001	3.4	1.6	7.5	62.5	20.1	4.9		5892
7	2000	3.0	1.7	8.8	61.8	19.6	5.1		5724
7	1999	3.6	1.4	8.3	62.1	20.0	4.6		5732
8	2001	3.5	1.4	10.4	58.8	21.3	4.7		5751
8	2001	3.4	1.4	10.4	58.9	21.3	4.5		5730
8	1999	3.3	1.4	10.8	59.9	20.2	4.5		5794
U	1777	5.5	1.5	10.0	57.7	20.2	т.3	<u> </u>	5724
9	2001	3.5	1.9	8.6	63.5	18.8	3.8		5730
9	2000	3.0	1.7	8.3	63.6	19.7	3.7		5755
9	1999	2.8	1.7	8.9	63.8	18.8	4.0		5558
								1	
Total	2001	2.6	2.3	9.6	63.1	18.7	3.7		51794
Total	2000	2.4	2.1	10.5	63.2	18.4	3.4		51610
Total	1999	2.3	2.1	11.0	63.6	17.5	3.5		51539

\* "At" Means GL of A Equals Enrolment Grade

For the population of students that wrote the reading test or were exempted, the following generalizations can be made:

- 63.1 per cent of the students achieved a grade level of achievement in reading commensurate with their enrolment grade (a decrease of 0.1 per cent from 2000)
- 22.4 per cent achieved a grade level of achievement in reading that was higher than their enrolment grade (an increase of 0.6 per cent from 2000)
- 14.5 per cent achieved a grade level of achievement that was lower than their enrolment grade (a decrease of 0.5 per cent from 2000)

### Writing Results

5

5

7

7

7

8

8

8

Total

Total

Total

2000

1999

2001

2000

1999

2001

2000

1999

2001

2000

1999

3.2

3.6

6.3

6.2

6.4

6.1

6.7

6.6

3.3

3.2

3.2

4.8

4.4

3.0

3.2

4.1

3.5

2.9

3.1

3.2

3.0

3.3

8.5

8.9

5.0

5.7

4.6

5.9

6.3

4.5

7.8

8.7

8.2

Table 4 provides results from 1999, 2000 and 2001 on the writing component of the HLAT.

				TABL								
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF 1999, 2000 AND 2001 HLAT												
GRADE LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT (GL OF A)												
WRITING SCORES BY ENROLMENT GRADE												
Grade	Year	>Minus 2	Minus 2	Minus 1	AT *	Plus 1	Plus 2	>Plus 2	Ν			
1	2001			13.8	85.7	0.4			5558			
1	2000			17.7	81.4	0.9			5503			
1	1999			16.0	83.2	0.8			5554			
2	2001		4.3	6.8	88.4	0.5			5541			
2	2000		3.6	6.9	88.8	0.6	0.1		5500			
2	1999		3.6	6.4	88.8	1.1	0.1		5857			
4	2001	3.1	3.9	8.7	83.8	0.5	0.1		5925			
4	2000	3.1	3.4	7.6	85.2	0.6	0.1		5809			
4	1999	2.5	4.3	9.0	83.3	0.8	0.1		5712			
			_									
5	2001	4.1	4.1	6.9	84.4	0.4	0.1		5830			

82.5

82.3

84.8

84.2

83.9

83.5

83.0

85.0

85.1

84.2

84.4

1.0

0.8

0.8

0.7

0.8

0.8

1.0

0.7

0.6

0.8

0.8

0.1

0.2

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.0

0.1

0.1

5817

5687

5831

5680

5671

5700

5659

5727

34385

33968

34208

# 

• "At" Means GL Of A Equals Enrolment Grade

6

For the population of students that wrote the writing test or were exempted, the following generalizations can be made:

- 85.1 per cent of the students achieved a grade level of achievement in writing commensurate with their enrolment grade (an increase of 0.9 per cent from 2000)
- 0.6 per cent of the students attained a grade level of achievement in writing that was higher than their enrolment grade (a decrease of 0.3 per cent from 2000)
- 14.3 per cent of the students attained a grade level of achievement in writing that was lower than their enrolment grade (a decrease of 0.6 per cent from 2000)

### Differences Between Reading and Writing

Although the percentages of students achieving at or above their enrolment grade were very similar for reading and writing, the distribution of scores was very different. This information is provided in Table 5.

Enrolment		Reading			Writing		
Grade							
	Below	At	Above	Below	At	Above	
1	12.6	68.2	19.2	13.8	85.7	0.4	
2	15.0	63.5	21.5	11.1	88.4	0.5	
3	14.8	63.7	21.5	DID NOT WRITE			
4	16.8	67.1	16.1	15.7	83.8	0.5	
5	14.9	60.0	25.1	15.1	84.4	0.5	
6	15.1	60.9	24.0	DI	D NOT WRI	ΤЕ	
7	12.5	62.5	25.0	14.3	84.8	0.9	
8	15.3	58.8	25.9	15.6	83.5	0.9	
9	13.0	63.6	23.4	DID NOT WRITE			
2001 Total	14.5	63.1	22.4	14.3	85.1	0.6	
2000 Total	15.0	63.2	21.8	14.9	84.2	0.9	
1999 Total	15.4	63.6	21.0	14.7	84.4	0.9	

# TABLE 5PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCORESFOR READING AND WRITING: JUNE 2001

From the information in Table 5, the following generalizations can be made:

- although approximately the same per cent of students are below grade level for reading and writing, few students are judged to be above grade level for writing
- the percentage of students below grade level in reading ranges from 12.5 per cent (grade 7) to 16.8 per cent (grade 4)
- the percentage of students below grade level in writing ranges from 11.1 per cent (grade 2) to 15.7 per cent (grade 4)
- the percentage of students below grade level in reading has decreased over the past three years
- the percentage of students below grade level in writing decreased between 2000 and 2001

This pattern of results is very similar to those obtained in the past three years, and may reflect the reluctance of staff to judge students' writing at a grade level that exceeds their enrolment grade.

### Writing Scores: Grade Level of Achievement and Performance

Writing scores were analyzed to determine the distribution of performance scores (limited to excellent) based on the grade level of achievement of the writing. This information is provided in Table 6.

WRITING DI GRADE LEVEL OF ACHIEVENIL. JONE 2001								
Grade Level	Limited	Adequate	Proficient	Excellent	Ν			
of								
Achievement								
<1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1243			
1	22.4	41.4	23.6	12.6	5298			
2	19.4	47.5	23.4	9.7	5390			
3	48.8	43.6	4.9	2.6	983			
4	20.3	47.5	24.0	8.2	5570			
5	14.9	46.1	28.4	10.6	5228			
6	46.4	44.5	6.3	2.9	524			
7	18.4	47.4	26.7	7.5	5284			
8	12.6	48.0	30.5	8.9	4807			
9	5.7	7.5	50.9	35.8	53			
10, 11, 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5			
2001 Total	22.4	44.5	24.2	9.0	34385			
2000 Total	24.1	42.3	23.6	10.0	33968			
1999 Total	22.5	43.8	24.2	9.4	34208			

TABLE 6
PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF PERFORMANCE SCORES IN
WRITING BY GRADE LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT: JUNE 2001

As students enrolled in grades 3, 6, and 9 were not administered the writing task, it is difficult to identify trends. Generally, the percentage of students judged as "limited" decreases as the grade level of achievement increases. Papers judged to be at grade 3 or 6 do not fit this pattern as these papers have been judged to be at grade levels different from enrolment grade. Just over 97 per cent of the papers judged to be "grade 3" were written by students enrolled in grades 4, 5, 7, and 8 and 94 per cent of the papers judged to be "grade 6" were written by students enrolled in grades 7 and 8. The vast majority of these papers were given performance scores of "limited" or "adequate".

The percentage of papers judged to be "adequate" remains fairly consistent except for those papers judged to be at a grade 9 level of achievement. All of these papers were written by students enrolled in grades 7 and 8, and were typically judged to be either "proficient" or "excellent."

The percentage of papers judged "proficient" tends to increase as the grade level of achievement increases. As with previous categories, papers judged to be at a grade 3, 6, or 9 level of achievement do not fit the pattern.

The overall results for 2001 compared to 2000 indicate that the percentages of papers judged adequate and proficient increased. The percentages of papers judged limited and excellent decreased.

### **Growth Information**

A by-student comparison was undertaken to compare reading and writing scores for individual students over two years. This data includes only those students who had a reading score or a writing score for both 2000 and 2001. Table 7 provides information with respect to the change in reading scores over the two test administrations.

### TABLE 7 HLAT READING PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS WHOSE READING SCORES CHANGED ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES BETWEEN 2000 AND 2001

песе		THE FOLLOW		ORIES DEL		
	Number of	Regressed	Regressed 1	Grade Level	Grade Level	Grade Level
Enrolment	Students	More Than	Grade Level	Stayed the	Increased	Increased
Grade		1 Grade		Same	by 1	More Than 1
		Level				
1	88	0.0	5.7	42.0	48.9	3.4
2	4919	0.0	1.0	16.1	64.9	18.0
3	4990	0.2	1.4	15.3	67.3	15.8
4	5423	0.3	1.3	17.2	68.2	13.0
5	5370	0.4	0.8	10.7	67.9	20.2
6	5378	0.4	0.9	13.3	69.4	16.0
7	5208	0.4	0.8	13.7	67.3	17.8
8	5190	0.5	0.8	15.5	68.9	14.3
9	5106	0.5	1.3	17.0	66.8	14.4
2001 Total	41672	0.3	1.0	14.9	67.6	16.2
2000 Total	41589	0.3	1.0	15.0	67.6	16.1
1999 Total	41506	0.4	1.0	14.7	67.4	16.5

The data in Table 7 indicates that 83.8 per cent of students increased their reading grade level of achievement by one or more grades between 2000 and 2001. This represents an increase of 0.1 per cent when compared to the growth data for 2000. For 14.9 per cent of students, the 2001 grade level of achievement in reading remained the same as in 2000. For 1.3 per cent of the students, the grade level of achievement in 2000 was one or more grade levels below their score in 2000.

A total of 88 students enrolled in grade 1 for a second time in 2001 also had a reading score in 2000. Just over half of these students increased their grade level of achievement in reading by one or more grades between 2000 and 2001.

Table 8 provides information with respect to the change in writing scores over the last two test administrations.

# TABLE 8 HLAT WRITING

N						
Enrolment	Number	Regressed	Regressed 1	Grade Level	Grade Level	Grade Level
Grade	of	More Than	Grade Level	Stayed the	Increased	Increased
	Students	1 Grade Level		Same	by 1	More Than 1
2	4909	0.0	0.4	6.8	83.7	9.1
5	5308	0.3	1.3	7.1	85.7	5.6
8	5110	1.0	2.0	5.9	83.5	7.6
2001 Total	15327	0.4	1.2	6.6	84.4	7.4
2000 Total	15164	0.4	1.3	7.3	83.5	7.5
1999 Total	15498	0.5	1.3	7.0	84.0	7.2

### PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS WHOSE WRITING SCORES CHANGED ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES BETWEEN 2000 AND 2001

Growth information in writing is available only for students enrolled in grades 2, 5, and 8. This is because students enrolled in grades 3, 6, and 9 are not administered the HLAT writing task as they must complete a written component as part of the Alberta Learning Language Arts Achievement Test.

The data in Table 8 indicates that 91.8 per cent of students increased their writing score by one or more grade levels between 2000 and 2001, an increase of 0.8 percent from 2000 data. For 6.6 per cent of the students, the grade level of achievement in writing in 2001 remained the same as it had been in 2000. For 1.6 per cent of the students, the grade level in writing decreased by one or more grades.

A comparison of Table 7 and Table 8 indicates that a higher percentage of students achieved one or more year's growth in writing (91.8 per cent) than in reading (83.8 per cent) between 2000 and 2001.

### Results for Students in Receipt of a Special Needs Allocation, Languages Other Than English Programs, or Alternative Programs

Data for students in receipt of a special needs allocation is provided in Tables 9 to 34 in Appendix III. Data for students registered in French immersion, or bilingual programs are provided in Tables 35 to 48 in Appendix IV. Data for students enrolled in alternative programs is provided in Tables 49 to 64 in Appendix V.

AM:am

APPENDIX I	-	Highest Level of Achievement Test Writing Task (Visitor)
APPENDIX II	-	Evaluation: Performance Criteria and Achievement Criteria
APPENDIX III	-	Results for Students in Receipt of a Special Needs Allocation
APPENDIX IV	-	Results for Students in Immersion or Bilingual Programs
APPENDIX V	-	Results for Students Enrolled in Alternative Programs