

## Summary of Board Meeting #11 Tuesday, April 25, 2000

- A. **O Canada** – Students from Lymburn School sang O Canada. The choir also performed *New Day in the Morning*.

- B. **Roll Call:** (6:00 p.m.) - All trustees were present.

- C. **Communications from the Board Chairman**

The chairman noted that there had been article in the Edmonton Journal regarding Edmonton-based environmentalist, Brian Staszewski, who has been named a Time Magazine “Hero of the Planet. Mr. Staszewski is the founder and program co-ordinator of Destination Conservation which has directed environmental retrofits at some 2,700 schools across Canada. The program started in Edmonton in 1987 and has now spread across North America, with pilot programs beginning in a number of U.S. states. In Alberta, the program is funded by corporations through a revolving fund. Trustees may recall the retrofit approved by board for W.P. Wagner. The energy savings from the retrofit is being used to pay for the retrofit.

A letter will be prepared for the chairman’s signature to Mr. Staszewski.

- D. **Communications from the Superintendent of Schools** – None.

- E. **Minutes:**

1. Board Meeting #11 – April 11, 2000 – Approved as printed.
2. Board Meeting – April 17, 2000 – Approved as printed.
3. Board Meeting – April 18, 2000 – Approved as printed.

- F. **Focus on Student Achievement**

4. Balance and Diversity at Lymburn School – Received for information.

**G. Reports:**

5. Report #14 of the Conference Committee (From the Meeting Held April 18, 2000)

Approved recommendations regarding:

- Leadership appointments
- director appointment
- Submission to the ASBA

6. Motion Regarding Bill 11 – Approved motion.

7. Definition of a School CCE.BP – Approved recommendation.

8. District Technology Standards and Specifications – Approved recommendation.

9. Issues for the 2000 ASBA Fall General Meeting – Approved recommendations.

10. Balanced Literacy Research Project

a) Received for information.

b) Trustee Sulyma suggested that results comparisons should be done with other district programs such as Cogito.

11. District Review of the Student-Parent-Staff Satisfaction Surveys

a) Received for information.

b) Trustees Gibeault and Sulyma believed the comment section should be retained in the surveys.

c) Trustee Melnychuk believed, in the absence of a comment section, that there should be an avenue provided to the parent survey respondents to direct other district-level concerns to the superintendent or board of trustees' office.

d) Ms Tams indicated a statement would be added regarding concerns directed to the superintendent of schools or board of trustees.

12. 2000 Student-Parent-Staff Satisfaction Survey and Community Survey

a) Received for information.

b) Trustee Gibeault expressed concerns with the examples given in questions 40 and 41.

c) Trustee Melnychuk expressed concern about question 23 regarding the examples given of the types of schools.

13. Second Quarterly Report: December 1, 1999 to February 29, 2000 – Received for information.

14. Responses to Trustee Requests for Information - Received for information.

**H. Committee and Board Representative Reports**

• **Community Relations Activities**

Trustee Dean noted that she had been contacted by the ASBA and asked to serve as a representative on the Special Education Review Task Force – which she accepted.

**I. Comments from the Public and Staff Group Representatives** – None.

**J. Trustee and Board Requests for Information** – None.

**K. Notices of Motion** – None.

**L. Meeting Dates:**

Date	Time	Meeting Type
May 9, 2000	6:00 p.m.	Board

**M. Duration of Meeting:** 6:00 p.m. to 8:05 p.m.